For the Northern District of Californi

IN THE UNITED	STATES	DISTRIC	Γ COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WILLIAM J. WHITSITT,

No. C 08-01803 JSW

Plaintiff,

ORDER TO PLAINTIFF RE ARING ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

JUDGMENT

JEAN ZEDLITZ, et al.

Defendants.

On March 7, 2013, Defendant R. Lance & Sons Cos., Inc. filed a motion for summary judgment, which was noticed for a hearing on April 19, 2013. Under Northern District Local Rule 7-3(a), Plaintiff's opposition to the motion was due by no later than March 25, 2013.

On March 12, 2013, the Court issued a notice to Plaintiff, pursuant to Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc), and it advised Plaintiff that failure to oppose a proper motion for summary judgment might result in the dismissal of this case with prejudice. (See Docket No. 123.)

On March 29, 2013, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause, because Plaintiff failed to file an opposition brief by March 25, 2013. The Court directed Plaintiff to file a response by no later than April 12, 2013, showing cause why this Court should not grant Defendant's motion as unopposed. The Court also advised Plaintiff that if he asked to file a belated opposition brief, he must submit a request showing good cause for his failure to comply with the Local Rules. The Court also advised Plaintiff that the request to late file had to be accompanied by a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

proposed opposition brief. The Court also continued the hearing on the motion for summary judgment from April 19, 2013 to May 17, 2013 at 9:00 a.m.

The Court advised Plaintiff that if he failed to file a response to that Order to Show Cause by April 12, 2013, the Court would deem Defendant's motions submitted, and it would rule on the papers. (Docket No. 124.) Plaintiff did not file a response to the Order to Show Cause by April 12, 2013, and he did not seek leave to file a belated opposition brief by that date.

On April 16, 2013, the Court's *Rand* notice, dated March 12, 2013, was returned as "not deliverable as addressed" and "unable to forward." (Docket No. 125.)

On April 17, 2013, Plaintiff filed an untimely response to the Order to Show Cause. (Docket No. 126.) In that response, he advised the Court that he was destitute and was forced to move to a shelter, where he had been living since the first week of February 2013. He also stated that he had not been served with Defendant's motion for summary judgment. Because the Court received a written communication from Plaintiff within 60 days of the date on which the March 12, 2013 Order was returned, the Court declined to dismiss the case.

That was not the first time the Court had provided Plaintiff with relief after he failed to update the Court with a change of address. (See Docket No. 76.) Therefore, on April 18, 2013, the Court issued an Order and Final Warning to Plaintiff regarding the pending motion for summary judgment. In that Order, the Court directed Defendant R. Lance & Sons Co., Inc. ("R. Lance & Sons") to re-serve its motion for summary judgment on Plaintiff at the address set forth in his response to the Order to Show Cause (Docket No. 126), and once again re-set the briefing schedule and the hearing date on R. Lance & Sons' motion for summary judgment.

Pursuant to Northern District Civil Local Rule 3-11(a), when a party's address changes during the course of litigation, it is that party's duty to "promptly file with the Court and serve upon all opposing parties a Notice of Change of Address specifying the new address." If a party fails to comply with that rule, "[t]he Court may, without prejudice, dismiss a complaint or strike an answer when: (1) Mail directed to [that party] by the Court has been returned to the Court as not deliverable; and (2) The Court fails to receive within 60 days of this return a written communication from the [party] indicating a current address." N.D. Civ. L.R. 3-11(b).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Court also vacated the pre-trial and trial dates, and issued a final warning to Plaintiff regarding his obligation to keep the Court apprised of any changes to his address and his responsibility to prosecute this case. The Court also expressly noted that it would be the last time the Court would offer Plaintiff relief from dismissal or from the failure to comply with a deadline that the Court had imposed.

The Court further ordered that Plaintiff was required to file and serve a response to the motion for summary judgment by no later than May 24, 2013, and it stated that it would not continue this deadline. The Court granted Defendant until June 7, 2013, to serve and file its reply brief, and the Court continued the hearing on the motion for summary judgment to June 21, 2013 at 9:00 a.m. In addition, the Court once again provided Plaintiff with the notice required by Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952 (9th Cir.1998) (en banc). (See Docket No. 127 (Order and Final Warning to Plaintiff at 3:22-4:11.)

The Court also expressly advised Plaintiff that "this Order constitutes the Court's final warning to Plaintiff. If Plaintiff fails to comply with this Order and fails to file an opposition to R. Lance & Sons' motion for summary judgment by May 24, 2013, the Court shall dismiss this action for failure to prosecute without any further notice to **Plaintiff.**" (*Id.* at 4:12-15 (emphasis in original).)

Unfortunately, on May 1, 2013, the Order and Final Warning to Plaintiff was returned to the Court as undeliverable. (Docket No. 131.)

On April 30, 2013, however, Plaintiff filed a motion to set aside the judgment in this case. Plaintiff's address was the same address as the address to which the Court served its Order and Final Warning to Plaintiff. The Court denied that motion on May 2, 2013, and it has not been returned. (Docket Nos. 128-129.)

On May 28, 2013, Plaintiff filed an Objection to the Order denying the Motion for Relief from Judgment, and the address on that motion also was the same address to which the Court sent the Order and Final Warning to Plaintiff. In that objection, as in his motion to set aside the judgment, Plaintiff raised several arguments as to why earlier portions of this case should be re-opened. To date, Plaintiff still has not opposed the Defendant's motion for

summary judgment. This Court has afforded Plaintiff numerous opportunities to respond to that motion. It also has offered substantial deference to Plaintiff's status as a *pro se* litigant. However, as this Court has advised Plaintiff, he is expected to comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules. *See, e.g., Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d, 52, 54 (9th Cir. 1995) (noting that *pro se* litigants are bound by the rules of procedure), *cert denied*, 516 U.S. 838 (1995); Civil L.R. 3-9(a).

The Court shall not dismiss the case at this time, *solely* because the Order and Final Warning to Plaintiff was returned to the Court. However, the Court shall keep this matter on calendar for the hearing on Defendant's motion for summary judgment. That hearing is set for June 21, 2013 at 9:00 a.m., at the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, 19th Floor, Courtroom 11, San Francisco, California, 94012. **If Plaintiff fails to appear for the hearing, the Court shall dismiss this case with prejudice.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 4, 2013

JEFFREY S VIT E UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE 3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 WILLIAM J. WHITSITT et al, 6 Case Number: CV08-01803 JSW 7 Plaintiff, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 8 v. JEAN ZEDLITZ et al, 10 Defendant. 11 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California. 13 That on June 4, 2013, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office. 16 William J. Whitsitt 39 Gateway Ct. Apartment D14 18 Stockton, CA 95207 19 Dated: June 4, 2013 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk 20 By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk 21 22 23 24 25 26 27